Measurements and Assessments on Shielding Performance of FCTC10 ^{60}Co Transport Container

Dajie Zhuang^{1*}, Guoqing Zhang², Guoqiang Li¹, Renze Wang¹

¹China Institute for Radiation Protection, Taiyuan, Shanxi; ²Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Jiading District, Shanghai, China

ABSTRACT

Background: FCTC10 container is designed to transport ^{60}Co radioactive sources used in irradiation industry. It belongs to Type B(U) Category III (yellow) package when being loaded with a ^{60}Co source of 1.8×10^5 Ci.

Materials and Methods: The container is constituted of shielding container, basket, protective cover and bracket. Shielding ability is provided mainly by stainless steel shells, tungsten alloy and lead among steel shells. Radiation level around the container has been calculated with both Monte Carlo simulations and measurements.

Results and Discussion: It is proven that the shielding performance of the container fulfills the requirements in GB11806-2004 (Regulations for the safe transport of radioactive material, China Standard Press). Exposure doses to workers and to critical groups of public were calculated based on hypothetical exposure scene according to transport practice experience.

Conclusion: The results show that doses to workers and public are less than the constraint dose considered in design, and the radiation level would be increased less than a factor of 2 under design basis accidents.

Keywords: Irradiation, Radioactive source, Transport container, Shielding, Measurement and assessment

Note

Received July 17, 2015

Revision September 13, 2016

Accepted September 21, 2016

Corresponding author: Dajie Zhuang

China Institute for Radiation Protection,
102 Xuefu Street, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006,
China

Tel: +86-0351-2203035,

Fax: +86-0351-2203737

E-mail: pdj03@163.com

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2016 The Korean Association for Radiation Protection

Introduction

With the rapid development of nuclear technology in industrial application, irradiation process is applied widely in various fields, such as medical disinfection and sterilization, food preservation, radiation chemical engineering, breeding, environment treatment, etc. Up to now, there are more than 200 large-scale γ irradiation facility with a total activity of more than 2.0×10^8 Ci. In the US, irradiation sterilization facilities with an activity of about 1.0×10^7 Ci were designed and constructed. In China, approximately 200 irradiation facilities were constructed, of which more than 100 facilities were designed to use sources of above 3.0×10^5 Ci, while more than 40 facilities use sources of above 1.0×10^6 Ci. Designed capacity of source loading is more than 1.0×10^8 Ci, and the actual loading is about 3.6×10^7 Ci [1].

^{60}Co is a common used industrial irradiation source. At present, the activity of a ^{60}Co source used in the large-scale irradiation facility is about 8,000 to 14,000 Ci, which is Category I source specified in GB11806-2004, and the transport contender loading such a source is Type B package, accordingly. FCTC10 container with a loading of 1.8×10^5 Ci ^{60}Co source is a Type B(U), Category III (yellow) package. Considering the

high activity and potential hazards of ^{60}Co source, the container should be designed carefully, especially the shielding performance. According to the regulations of authority, tests including water spray, free drop, stacking and penetration for normal conditions of transport have been performed, while drop I, drop II, thermal test and water immersion have been carried out for accident conditions. In addition, simulations and measurements were conducted to verify design parameters [2, 3]. The design was approved by the Chinese authority in 2014.

Materials and Methods

1. Structure of FCTC10 container

As shown in Figure 1, the container consists of shielding container, hanging basket, protective cover and bracket. Shielding container comprises inner cask, lead plug, heat insulation, shock absorber. From inside out, the cask includes 10 mm inner stainless steel (0Cr18Ni9) shell, tungsten alloy bucket, protective cover, lead filling, and 16 mm outer stainless steel (0Cr18Ni9) shell. The tungsten alloy bucket has an outer diameter of 291 mm, a height of 614 mm, and a thickness of 42 mm on the upper side part, 62 mm on the side and 74 mm on the bottom. The protective cover is made of 5 mm stainless steel (0Cr18Ni9). The radial thickness of the lead filling is 147.5 mm. The lead plug consists of a lead cylinder and a tungsten alloy cylinder stack. The lead cylinder has a radius of 154.5 mm and a height of 109 mm, while the tungsten alloy cylinder stack has an upper radius of 154.5 mm, upper height of 20 mm, lower radius of 86.5 mm, and lower height of 97 mm. The lead plug is covered with stainless steel (0Cr18Ni9), which is 2.2 mm thick on the side, 12 mm on the bottom, 3 mm in the middle and 25 mm on the top. The heat insulation comprises 6 mm stainless steel shells with 26 mm

aluminum silicate fiber blanket in the middle.

The hanging basket, which is 478 mm high and 140 mm in diameter, is placed in the middle of the container. The basket is a rod lattice structure and made of stainless steel (0Cr17Ni-12Mo2). The protective cover of 1,264 mm $\times$ 1,264 mm $\times$ 1,414 mm consists of an angle steel frame, 4 lifting rugs, 4 side pull tab and a bottom steel net (0Cr18Ni9). The size of the steel frame is 1,250 mm $\times$ 1,250 mm $\times$ 230 mm, while the side of both top and bottom plates is 1,250 mm $\times$ 1,250 mm $\times$ 10 mm. The inner cask together with the tungsten alloy and lead fillings are mainly the components to provide shielding to the source.

2. Radiation level

1) Calculations

Monte Carlo codes have been used to calculate the radiation level outside the FCTC10 container with the maximum loading [4]. Only steel shells, tungsten alloy and lead fillings were considered in the calculation. The components of each material are listed in Table 1, and the simplified model used in the calculation can be found in Figure 2. Up to 19 ^{60}Co sources (total activity $\leq 1.8 \times 10^5$ Ci) can be loaded in the container. Calculated dose rates at different positions are shown in Table 2.

2) Measurements

^{60}Co sources of total 1.77×10^5 Ci was used in the measurement. The activity of each source and the positions in the container can be found in Table 3 and Figure 3, respectively. The dose rates on the surface of the container, at the distance of 1 m and 2 m from the surface were measured with a FHZ612-10 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA) dose rate meter, which has a measuring range from 0.1

Fig. 1. Structure of FCTC10 transport container.

Table 1. Composition of the Container Components

Material	Element	Atom density (atom·b ⁻¹ ·cm ⁻¹)	Density (g·cm ⁻³)
0Cr18Ni9	Cr	1.6514×10^{-2}	7.92
	Fe	5.9586×10^{-2}	
	Ni	7.3114×10^{-3}	
	C, Si, Mn, S, P	3.8517×10^{-3}	
Lead	Pb	3.3043×10^{-2}	11.34
Aluminum silicate fiber	Al	6.6458×10^{-4}	0.13
	Si	6.6760×10^{-4}	
	O	2.3322×10^{-3}	
	C	2.2000×10^{-4}	
	H	4.4018×10^{-4}	
	W	6.0191×10^{-2}	
Tungsten alloy	W	6.0191×10^{-2}	19.35
	Cu, Ni, Fe	1.8898×10^{-2}

Fig. 2. Shielding calculation model for FCTC10 container.

Table 2. Calculated Dose Rates at Different Positions

Position	Shielding container				Package			
	Side		Bottom		Side		Bottom	
	Surface	1 m	Surface	1 m	Surface	1 m	Surface	1 m
Dose rate ($\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$)	1,710.7	90.7	225.7	19.8	825.8	84.6	209.9	6.7
Relative error (%)	0.70	0.34	0.45	0.64	0.53	0.37	1.19	2.66

Table 3. Activity List of Radioactive Sources used in Test

Symbol	Activity (Ci)	Symbol	Activity (Ci)	Symbol	Activity (Ci)
13-441	11,896	13-448	11,860	13-464	11,916
13-442	11,806	13-450	11,781	13-468	11,880
13-443	11,968	13-453	11,666	13-469	11,757
13-444	11,982	13-458	11,767	13-471	11,689
13-445	11,874	13-460	11,789	13-472	11,786

Table 4. Maximum Dose Rates Measured Outside Package and Shielding Container ($\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$)

Activity (Ci)	Shielding container		Package			
	Side		Side	Top	Bottom	
	Surface	1 m	Surface	1 m	Surface	Surface
177417	1,190	104	595	83.1	270	120
180000*	1,207	106	603.7	84.3	273.9	121.7

*The measured results were normalized to ^{60}Co of 1.8×10^5 Ci.

Table 5. Comparison between MCNP Model Calculation Results and Measured Results ($\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$)

Items	Position	Side of shielding container		Side of package	
		Surface	1 m	Surface	1 m
Calculation value		1,710.7	90.7	825.8	84.6
Measured value		1,207	106	603.7	84.3
Relative difference		41.7%	-14.4%	36.8%	0.4%

Fig. 3. Positions of radioactive sources in basket (top view).

$\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ to $10 \text{ Sv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, calibration factor of 0.98, and uncertainty of 5% ($K=2$). Measured results are list in Table 4.

3) Result

The maximum dose rates measured are on the side of the package and the shielding container, at the same height as the source. Calculated dose rates are listed in Table 5 together with measured results. Considering the size of the dose

Table 6. Exposure Parameters and Doses of Workers during Shipment

Worker		Number (person)	Distance (m)	Time (hr)	Dose rat ($\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$)	Dose	
						Individual (μSv)	collective ($\text{man} \mu \cdot \text{Sv}$)
Loading	Director	1	10	0.1	2.4	0.24	0.24
	Fastening Workers	2	1	0.1	98.0	9.8	19.6
	Lifting Workers	1	6	0.1	6.0	0.6	0.6
Carriage	Driver	1	3	40	20.0	800.0	800.0
	Escort	1	3	40	20.0	800.0	800.0
Unloading	Director	1	10	0.1	2.4	0.24	0.24
	Fastening Workers	2	1	0.1	98.0	9.8	19.6
	Lifting Workers	1	6	0.1	6.0	0.6	0.6

rate meter, the position used in calculations are not exactly the same as in measurements.

FCTC10 container loaded with ^{60}Co of 1.8×10^5 Ci is Type B(U), Category III (Yellow) package. According to the regulations, the radiation level should not exceed $10 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ at any point on the external surface of the package, while it should be less than $0.1 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ at 1 m, if it's not for exclusive use. Based on the design, radiation level should be less than $1.5 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ on the surface, and it should not exceed $0.098 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$. The calculated and measured results show that the radiation level of the container with maximum activity of ^{60}Co meets the design requirements.

Results and Discussion

1. Dose to the workers

During the transport of FCTC10 package, transport workers could be exposed to radiations in loading, transport and unloading. Based on experiences, exposure scenarios and dose to different kinds of workers, such as lifting workers, fastening workers and others. In the calculation, transport index of 9.8 was used. It can be found that, potential exposures to drivers and to escorts are higher than other workers. Based on Chinese national standards GB18871-2002 (Basic standards for protection against ionizing radiation and for the safety of radiation protection, China standard Press), the dose to radiation workers should be less than $20 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ (average of 5 years), but not to exceed 50 mSv in any year, while the dose constraint is $5 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ in GB11806-2004. To optimize protection and to keep the dose to works as low as reasonably achievable, the package should be as far as possible from the package, and shield should be used (see Table 6).

FCTC10 container passed tests required in GB11806-2004, with a minor reduction of shielding thickness (about 1 cm)

[5]. Under design basis accidents, the radiation level outside the package would be increased less than a factor of 2.

2. Dose to the public

During road transport of TCTC10 package, residents, pedestrians, toll-gate workers, parking lot workers and so on could be exposed to radiations of the package. Transport by rail or by air would cause low exposure to the public. For transport by roads, dose to the public was calculated based on experiences. Based on GB18871-2002, the dose to the should be less than $1 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ (average of 5 years), but not to exceed 5 mSv in any year, while the dose constraint is $1 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ in GB11806-2004. The calculation results show that the transport of FCTC10 package would cause rather low dose to the public. To optimize protection to the public, the transport activities should be kept away from crowd.

Conclusion

According to the calculations and measurements, the position of maximum dose rate is on the side of the package. The maximum dose rate on the surface is $825.8 \mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, while it's $84.6 \mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ at 1 m from the surface. The radiation level meet the regulations in GB11806-2004, and the design requirements for Type B(U), Category III (yellow) package.

Potential doses to transport workers and to the public have been calculated based on the experiments of transport activities, and transport index of 9.8 was used. The results show that potential dose to the driver is higher than to other workers, but it's far less than the dose constraint of $5 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$. For roadway transport, dose to the public is much lower than the limit required in national standards. Under design basis accidents, the radiation level outside the package would be increased less than a factor of 2.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to acknowledge Dr. Guoqing ZHANG, who is my schoolfellow and obtained a Ph.D. at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology in German, for his help to improve the English expression of the article.

References

1. He SJ. Protection and safety of ionizing radiation utilization in industry (in Chinese). 1st Ed. Beijing China. Atomic energy press. 2009:12-13.
2. International Atomic Energy Agency. Regulations for the safe transport of radioactive material. IAEA Safety Standards Requirements. No.SSR-6. 2012;109-118.
3. International Atomic Energy Agency. Advisory material for the IAEA regulations for the safe transport of radioactive material. IAEA Safety Standards Requirements. No.SSG-6. 2012;157-174.
4. Chen YF, Chiou YF, Chang SJ. Effects of source and geometry modeling on the shielding calculation for a spent nuclear fuel dry storage cask (in Chinese). Nucl. technol. 2013;182(2):224-234.
5. Tang ZQ, Li GQ, Su BY, Li ZQ. Numerical Simulation on Impacting Test of Industrial Irradiation Transport Package for Radioactive Material Under the Accident Condition (in Chinese). J. Tai-Yuan University of Technology. 2015;46(2):247-252.