The Transport Characteristics of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K in the Production Cycle of Phosphate Rock

Yoonhee Jung, Jong-Myoung Lim*, Young-Yong Ji, Kun Ho Chung, Mun Ja Kang

Environmental Radioactivity Assessment Team, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, Daejeon, Korea

ABSTRACT

Background: Phosphate rock and its by-product are widely used in various industries to produce phosphoric acid, gypsum, gypsum board, and fertilizer. Owing to its high level of natural radioactive nuclides (e.g., ^{238}U and ^{226}Ra), the radiological safety of workers who work with phosphate rock should be systematically managed. In this study, ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K levels were measured to analyze the transport characteristics of these radionuclides in the production cycle of phosphate rock.

Materials and Methods: Energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence and gamma spectrometry were used to determine the activity of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K . To evaluate the extent of secular disequilibrium, the analytical results were compared using statistical methods. Finally, the distribution of radioactivity across different stages of the phosphate rock production cycle was evaluated.

Results and Discussion: The concentration ratios of ^{226}Ra and ^{238}U in phosphate rock were close to 1.0, while those found in gypsum and fertilizer were extremely different, reflecting disequilibrium after the chemical reaction process. The nuclide with the highest activity level in the production cycle of phosphate rock was ^{40}K , and the median ^{40}K activity was $8.972 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ and $1.496 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, respectively. For the ^{238}U series, the activity of ^{238}U and ^{226}Ra was greatest in phosphate rock, and the distribution of activity values clearly showed the transport characteristics of the radionuclides, both for the byproducts of the decay sequences and for their final products.

Conclusion: Although the activity of ^{40}K in k-related fertilizer was relatively high, it made a relatively low contribution to the total radiological effect. However, the activity levels of ^{226}Ra and ^{238}U in phosphate rock were found to be relatively high, near the upper end of the acceptable limits. Therefore, it is necessary to systematically manage the radiological safety of workers engaged in phosphate rock processing.

Keywords: Naturally occurring radioactive material (NORM), Phosphate rock, X-ray fluorescence spectrometry, Gamma spectrometry, Secular equilibrium

Original Research

Received June 3, 2016
Revision November 2, 2016
Accepted January 5, 2017

Corresponding author:
Jong-Myoung Lim

Environmental Radioactivity Assessment
Team, Korea Atomic Energy Research
Institute, 989-111 Deadeok-dearo,
Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34057 Korea
Tel: +82-042-868-8967
Fax: +82 042-863-1289
E-mail: jmlim@kaeri.re.kr

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2017 The Korean Association for
Radiation Protection

Introduction

The National Academy of Science (1987) has pointed out that the various naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) in the environment are the major origin of annual radiation exposure (e.g., approximately 82% of total radiation) [1]. Although the total radioactivity concerned with NORM might be less than those affected by artificial radionuclides from earlier nuclear weapon testing or accidents of the nuclear power production (NPP) facilities, systematic management to reduce long-term or high dose exposure to workers is strongly required.

In response to these concerns, various policies, regulations, and guidelines regarding

NORM have been enacted or proposed worldwide. In particular, based on the Euratom 96 directive, some European countries either enforce regulations or are institutionalizing guidelines for the systematic tracking including the production, management, and distribution of NORM [2-4]. Domestically, since 2012, guidelines of 'Radioactive Safety Management of Environmental Radiation' suggested by the Korean Nuclear Safety and Security Commission have been initiated for the systematic management of NORM.¹⁾ The guidelines aim to reduce the radiation exposure incurred to both the general public and workers of the raw materials, NORM-containing products, and by-products enriched with the specific radioactive nuclides. Radioactive exposure from the process of manufacturing, handling, and managing NORM-containing products refers to not only external exposure, but also to internal exposure from inhalation and ingestion. Workers involved in NORM manufacturing are certainly exposed to large amounts of radiation during the pulverization or transportation process. Because pulverized radioactive materials with a disproportionately larger surface area can be easily deposited along the respiratory tract, severe health complications occur owing to the internal exposure [5].

The 'Radioactive Safety Management of Environmental Radiation' guideline requires that the concentration of ^{238}U , ^{235}U , ^{232}Th , or their radioactive progeny in NORM or by-products should not exceed $1.0 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ ($10 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ for ^{40}K). The guideline also limits the aggregate amount of radioactivity to above 1000 kBq (for ^{238}U , ^{235}U , and ^{232}Th) or 10,000 kBq (for ^{40}K). The guideline requires that the annual radiation exposure from the processed NORM-containing products not exceed 1 mSv, individually.

It is well known that a phosphate rock, which has highly enriched radioactivity with raw materials (e.g., bauxite, zircon, and monazite), is buried throughout China, Morocco, South Africa, United America, etc. The phosphate rock distributed in domestic industries is mainly imported from these countries [6].

Although the radioactivity of phosphate rock varies vastly with their geographical origin, the radioactive concentrations of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , and ^{226}Ra have been reported as the following ranges of $0.1\text{--}4.0 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, $0.015\text{--}0.15 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, and $0.6\text{--}3.0 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, respectively. In some cases, the radioactivity in phosphate rock distributes an extremely high level [7]. Consequently, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), the

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), and the European Union have proposed safety guidelines on the NORM industry including phosphate rock [2, 8]. According to the previous report, nevertheless, the annual radiation exposure dose might be different with the specific process, and the highest exposure dose associated with phosphate rock has been found in storage containers (a maximum value of 0.8 mSv), followed by transportation cargo (0.05 mSv), phosphoric acid production rooms (0.02 mSv), and gypsum-drying rooms (0.05 mSv) [9].

It is well-known that phosphate rock as a sedimentary rock biochemically originates with the major components of P_2O_5 and CaO . Phosphate rock is generally used as raw materials for fertilizers as well as in construction materials, phosphoric acid production, medicine, semiconductors, ceramics, and silk production [10].

Figure 1 illustrates the interesting radionuclides across the various stages of the production cycle of phosphate rock. The physicochemical processing of phosphate rock makes two types of by-products: phosphoric acid and phosphogypsum. According to the Ministry of the Environment in KOREA, the radon dose in boards has been detected at 25-times greater than in gypsum boards as building construction materials containing phosphogypsum [11]. The dose of ^{40}K radiation in compound fertilizer from phosphoric acid is also high owing to the KCl addition processes [1].

In general, gamma spectrometry, a liquid scintillation counter (LSC), alpha spectrometry, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (ED-XRF) were used to evaluate the radioactivity of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K . In particular, nevertheless, the alpha spectrometry, LSC, and ICP-MS are primarily useful for

Fig. 1. Production cycle of phosphate rock and their interesting nuclides.

¹⁾ Nuclear Safety and Security Commission No. 10908 (2012.7.26.), Republic of Korea.

analyzing the low level of radioactivity, and they require complex and time-consuming sample pretreatment such as acid digestion, purification, separation, and dilution. In contrast, as analytical non-destructive methods, gamma spectrometry and ED-XRF permit the determination of radionuclides without complicated and time-consuming radiochemical separations [12]. As the point of the analytical characteristics, the determination method of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , and ^{226}Ra can be divided into direct or indirect methods. While a direct method evaluates the radioactivity based on the determined results for the specific target nuclide, an indirect analysis, assuming secular equilibrium, calculates the radioactivity based on those of its daughter nuclides. However, an indirect measurement might cause inaccuracies owing to the radioactive disequilibrium in a spectrometry measurement [13, 14].

In this study, to lay the groundwork for future studies assessing the radiological safety of natural radionuclides, we investigated the radioactivity distribution characters of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K in the production cycle of phosphate rock (e.g., raw materials, phospho-gypsum, gypsum board, and fertilizer). The radioactive disequilibrium that may occur among nuclides in the production cycle in an indirect spectrometry evaluation may limit the applicability of our findings. Thus, to assess the effect of radioactive disequilibrium on the reliability of our data, direct measurements using ED-XRF and indirect measurements using gamma spectrometry were simultaneously determined and compared.

Materials and Methods

1. Sample pretreatment

To evaluate the status of the radioactivity in NORMs at various points of the production cycle, the following samples reflecting different stages of the cycle were analyzed: 1) 23 types of raw phosphate rock; 2) 24 types of gypsum in phosphoric acid manufacturing; 3) 19 types of k-related fertilizer; 4) 7 types of fertilizer; and 5) 13 types of gypsum board currently distributed in Korea. Samples were homogenized with a milling machine (8530 Enclosed Shatterbox, SPEX SamplePrep, Metuchen, NJ) and dried for over 3 hours at 105°C. The samples were separately prepared for gamma spectrometry and ED-XRF. While the samples for gamma spectrometry were filled in aluminum containers with about 100 g and the mount sealed, the samples for ED-XRF were filled in plastic cylindrical sample cups (ϕ of 32 mm; height of 1 cm) encased with a Mylar film.

2. Gamma spectrometry of NORM

Although the alpha decay of the parent nuclides ^{238}U ($T_{1/2} = 4.468 \times 10^9$ y) and ^{232}Th ($T_{1/2} = 1.402 \times 10^{10}$ y) in NORMs is associated with transitions in the energy levels of their radioactive progeny, the actual gamma emission is very small owing to the high rates of the internal conversion; this makes it unfeasible to use standard high-purity germanium (HPGe) detectors to perform direct measurements [15]. Although the alpha decay of ^{226}Ra ($T_{1/2} = 1.6 \times 10^3$ y) into ^{222}Rn ($T_{1/2} = 3.8$ d) could be apparently detected at 186.2 keV, ^{235}U ($T_{1/2} = 7.04 \times 10^8$ y) of the 185.7 keV gamma peak may interfere in an ordinary case [16]. Therefore, to accurately quantify the gamma peaks of ^{226}Ra , it is necessary to perform a complex calculation to adjust the ROIs for the interference peaks. However, ^{235}U and ^{40}K , which emit the prominent gamma emissions, could be easily determined in the gamma spectrometry. For the ^{238}U , ^{226}Ra , and ^{232}Th nuclides, secular equilibriums of the radioactivity of specific radioactive progeny within the decay series were used [17, 18].

Typically, the phosphate rock was treated in the process reflecting the following reaction: $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ reacts with phosphoric acid to produce $\text{CaH}_4(\text{PO}_4)_2$ (Equation 1), and $\text{CaH}_4(\text{PO}_4)_2$ reacts with sulfuric acid to produce phosphoric acid and gypsum (Equation 2).

As mentioned above, an imbalance in secular equilibrium might occur in raw materials, by-products, or NORM-containing products as a result of various geochemical or physicochemical treatments. After as long as 7-times the half-life of the progeny, the secular equilibrium between all of the nuclides in the decay series was reached. For instance, the growing back of secular disequilibrium induced by the decay of ^{238}U to ^{234}Th ($T_{1/2} = 27$ d) takes around 6 months. In case of the disequilibrium induced by the decay of ^{232}Th into ^{228}Ra and ^{228}Ac , although the half-life of ^{228}Ac is only 6 min, it would take over 40 years to achieve secular equilibrium owing to the long half-life of ^{228}Ra ($T_{1/2} = 5.7$ years). ^{222}Rn and ^{220}Rn , a radioactive progeny of ^{226}Ra and ^{224}Ra ($T_{1/2} = 1.6$ years), exist as inert gases in the environment and are often found high levels in raw material. Thus, secular disequilibrium almost always occurs. Therefore, to prevent disequilibrium by the leakage of radon gas, the aluminum container filled samples were completely sealed to prevent radon leakage and left for at least more than 3 weeks. This time-consuming pretreatment is the one of the

major limitations in gamma spectrometry.

In this study, an N-type HPGe (EG&G ORTEC, Oak Ridge, TN) detector and MCA were used for gamma spectrometry. A calibration standard was prepared with a standard reference solution (Eckert & Ziegler, Berlin, Germany). The energy and efficiency of the detector were calibrated using the calibration standard with the same geometry and chemical composition.

The empty aluminum containers were periodically measured for correcting the background count rate. The minimum detectable activity (MDA) of the system used was calculated with the Equation 3. The evaluated MDA of ^{226}Ra , ^{228}Ac , and ^{40}K were $0.004 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, $0.005 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, and $0.14 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, respectively.

$$MDA = \frac{2.71 + 4.65 \sqrt{N_b \times t}}{t \times \varepsilon \times W_{smp}} \quad (3)$$

N_b : Background count rate (cps)

T: Activity (Bq)

ε : Counting efficiency

W_{smp} : Sample weight (g)

3. Analysis of the radioactivity using ED-XRF

The radioactivity of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th in raw materials, by-products, and NORM-containing products were quantitatively analyzed using ED-XRF. The ED-XRF method, which is able to determine metallic elements qualitatively and quantitatively, is representative of the non-destructive methods for multi-elemental analysis simultaneously [19]. It is possible to measure all elements from ^{23}Na to ^{238}U without restriction of the sample size. Typically, a sample pretreatment is rarely needed for solid powder. However, the variation of composition and characteristics of the sample medium play a critical role in the analytical results.

The required measurement time for each sample in the ED-XRF methods is approximately 600 seconds for mass scanning and calculation of all elements. The concentration of U and Th were calculated with a counting rate of a specific L_{α} -X-ray at 13.612 keV and at 12.967 keV, respectively. Because the peak overlap occurred at 13.612 keV owing to the high content of ^{85}Rb and ^{206}Pb , it should be separated by removing the characteristic potential peak from the U peak using their specific K_{α} -X-ray. The measurement and calculation were automatically performed using the X-LapPro program. The calibration curves for U and Th in 10^5 dynamic ranges were created using various standard references materials of

soil and rock media. The resulted determination coefficient (r^2) of calibration curves for them were 0.9981 and 0.9946, respectively. The detection limit was around $1 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ($\approx^{238}\text{U}$, $0.012 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ and ^{232}Th , $0.041 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$).

The calculation of the mass-radioactivity relationship (specific activity), which uses the decay time of the nuclide and the atomic weight, is presented in Equation 4. The specific activity of ^{238}U (a relative isotopic abundance of 99.3% was applied) was $12.446 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, and that of ^{232}Th was $4.007 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$.

$$m = \frac{A \times M \times t_{1/2}}{\ln 2 \times N_A} \quad (4)$$

m: Mass of analyte

A: Activity (Bq)

M: Molar mass (g)

$t_{1/2}$: Half-life (s)

N_A : Avogadro's number

Results and Discussion

1. Application of analytical methods considering disequilibrium

In general, 80% of ^{226}Ra , 14% of ^{238}U , and 30% of ^{232}Th in phosphate rock tend to be enriched in phospho-gypsum and the rest remain in phosphoric acid through the manufacturing process. In particular, because the solubility product (K_{sp}) of Ra with sulfate is extremely low (3.66×10^{-11}), it easily precipitates as RaSO_4 . Thus, large amounts of Ra would be concentrated in phospho-gypsum, while U and Th are transferred into phosphoric acid. Through these chemical reaction processes, the secular equilibrium between specific decay series breaks down [20, 21]. In the case of gypsum boards made by mixing the phospho-gypsum, sawdust and lightweight material, the radioactivity of Ra in the gypsum boards could be higher than that of ^{238}U . On the other hand, for the phosphoric acid used to manufacture compound fertilizers, the radioactivity of ^{238}U could be higher than that of ^{226}Ra .

The standard reference materials (SRM) with soil, phosphate rock, and bauxite media of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, Gaithersburg, MA) were used for the QA/QC of ED-XRF and gamma spectrometry. For U and Th, the relative errors of both methods for SRMs were below $\pm 20\%$ compared to the certified/referenced values. On the basis of the validation guidelines for the radioactivity analysis [22, 23], the analytical results were reasonably reliable.

In order to evaluate the applicability of ED-XRF and gam-

Fig. 2. Comparison of activity concentrations between ED-XRF and γ -spectrometry.

ma spectrometry, the comparative results of the activity concentrations between both methods in the ^{238}U series (A) and ^{232}Th series (B) are presented in Figure 2. For the purpose of spectral certainty, the comparative results in a low concentration range were expanded in ^{238}U series.

With the indirect measurement method, the radioactivity of ^{238}U could be calculated using ^{226}Ra . If the mother and progeny nuclides were in the secular equilibrium, their radioactivity should be identical. This fact means that if the regression coefficient is around 1, the radioactivity of the progeny nuclides (e.g., ^{226}Ra) could be directly used for the mother nuclides (e.g., ^{238}U) under secular equilibrium. In phosphate rock samples, with relatively high radioactivity, the regression coefficient of ^{226}Ra and ^{238}U ($^{226}\text{Ra}/^{238}\text{U}$) is 0.86 ± 0.13 . The coefficient of determination is 0.909. This finding indicates that secular equilibrium was achieved between the mother nuclide and radioactive progeny in the phosphate rock (Figure 2A). Thus, the ^{238}U concentrations could be deduced by the ^{226}Ra activity in the gamma-ray spectrometry. In contrast, in gypsum samples, the $^{226}\text{Ra}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratio was 5.56 ± 3.93 , indicating that the concentrations of ^{226}Ra were

about 5-times higher than those of ^{238}U . The $^{226}\text{Ra}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratio in fertilizers and gypsum board samples were 0.06 ± 0.05 and 2.24 ± 1.70 , respectively. Thus, one may conclude that the secular disequilibrium between ^{226}Ra and ^{238}U in gypsum, fertilizers, and gypsum board is obvious (Figure 2C).

Because ^{228}Ra is also enriched in gypsum and ^{232}Th and ^{228}Ac are dissolved in phosphoric acid, disequilibrium between ^{232}Th and ^{228}Ac could be observed owing to the loss of ^{228}Ra in the process. Because the half-life of ^{228}Ac is only 6.1 min, the radioactivity of ^{228}Ac in gypsum is restored quickly and reached secular equilibrium with ^{228}Ra . However, the half-life of ^{228}Ra is 5.7 years, and it takes longer for secular equilibrium to be restored; thus, ^{232}Th - ^{228}Ra disequilibrium occurs. As shown in Figure 2B, the determination coefficient between ^{232}Th and ^{228}Ac was 0.875 indicating a high relationship between both methods. The ratio ($^{228}\text{Ac}/^{232}\text{Th}$) was 0.91 ± 0.29 in phosphate rock, 0.79 ± 0.39 in gypsum, and 0.75 ± 0.27 in fertilizers, showing that equilibrium was maintained between the two nuclides. However, the radioactivity of Th series in phosphate rock has a high measurement uncertainty owing to being in a low concentration range. Therefore, it is difficult

to judge the secular equilibrium in such cases.

2. Assessment of transfer characteristics and the radioactivity distribution in the production cycle of phosphate rock

Concerning the effect of radiation disequilibrium, the radioactivity of individual radionuclides was evaluated through ED-XRF for ^{238}U and ^{232}Th , and gamma spectrometry for ^{226}Ra and ^{40}K . In general, according to the geographical origin and

chemical characteristics of the phosphate rock, the concentrations of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra and ^{40}K are various. Table 1 shows the results for 23 types of phosphate rock distributed throughout Korea; the phosphate rock samples were imported from Nauru, Morocco, China, Togo, Egypt, Israel, Jordan, and Peru. The range of total radioactivity for each nuclide was as follows: 0.058-1.879 Bq.g⁻¹ for ^{238}U , 0.004-0.033 for ^{232}Th , 0.051-1.720 for ^{226}Ra , and 0.002-0.211 Bq.g⁻¹ for ^{40}K . The radioactivity of ^{238}U and ^{226}Ra in phosphate rock samples was much higher than that of ^{232}Th and ^{40}K . Among the 23 phosphate rock samples, eight of the samples showed that the radioactivity of ^{238}U and ^{226}Ra exceeded 1 Bq.g⁻¹. These analytical results were within the range of the phosphate rock, which is produced and distributed all over the world [21].

To evaluate the transport characteristics and concentration distribution of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K across the phosphate rock production cycle, various statistical approaches were applied in this study. The analysis of variance (ANOVA), Student-Newman-Kuels (SNK), Levene's test, Welch statistic, and visualization using box-whisker plot were conducted using STATGRAPHICS Centurion (Ver. 17). The statistically compared results of the radioactivity at each stage are sum-

Table 1. Activity of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K (Bq.g⁻¹) in Phosphate Rock Samples from Various Countries

Countries	^{238}U	^{232}Th	^{226}Ra	^{40}K
Republic of Nauru	0.938-1.054	0.009-0.011	0.735-0.759	0.002-0.041
Morocco	0.648-1.779	0.016-0.030	0.713-0.798	0.039-0.211
China	0.058-0.232	0.004-0.020	0.051-0.227	0.013-0.272
Togo	1.307-1.321		1.110-1.190	0.011-0.014
Egypt	1.228	0.016	0.689	0.017
Israel	1.604	0.014	1.720	0.011
Jordan	0.625-1.879	0.013-0.033	0.534-1.280	0.025-0.048
Peru	0.788	0.021	0.689	0.058
World reference range [21]	0.015-5.0	0.005-0.564	0.028-5.0	-

Table 2. Statistical Summary of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K (Bq.g⁻¹) Activity in the Production Cycle of Phosphate Rock

Nuclides	Production cycle	No. of samples*	Median	Range	ANOVA†	
					p-value	SNK§
^{238}U	Phosphate rock	23	0.870	(0.123-1.879)	0.000 (0.000)‡	A
	Gypsum	24	0.049	(0.005-0.178)		C
	Board	13	0.015	(0.004-0.121)		C
	K-related fertilizer	19	0.035	(0.014-0.052)		C
	Fertilizer	7	0.075	(0.037-0.263)		B
^{232}Th	Phosphate rock	23	0.015	(0.004-0.114)	0.198 (0.070)‡	A
	Gypsum	24	0.011	(0.007-0.010)		A
	Board	13	0.007	(0.002-0.042)		A
	K-related fertilizer	19	0.013	(0.009-0.027)		A
	Fertilizer	7	0.011	(0.006-0.030)		A
^{226}Ra	Phosphate rock	22	0.731	(0.002-1.230)	0.000 (0.000)‡	A
	Gypsum	24	0.295	(0.048-0.857)		B
	Board	19	0.024	(0.003-0.385)		C
	K-related fertilizer	1	0.003	(0.002-0.004)		C
	Fertilizer	6	0.009	(0.002-0.034)		C
^{40}K	Phosphate rock	11	0.041	(0.002-0.272)	0.000 (0.000)‡	C
	Gypsum	9	0.014	(0.003-0.046)		C
	Board	12	0.088	(0.034-0.246)		C
	K-related fertilizer	19	8.972	(3.421-19.75)		A
	Fertilizer	7	1.496	(0.043-3.805)		B

*Number of samples determined over than MDA.

†Analysis of variance.

‡Values in the parenthesis express p values from Welch-ANOVA with non-normality of the variance.

§SNK test, accompanied by ANOVA, can be used to rank the means by their magnitude with statistical significance ($p=0.05$) as at two-tailed test. The A, B, and C represent the ranking order of group means and the same letter of the result means that their differences are statistically insignificant.

marized in Table 2. For the clearance, the data that were less than MDA were converted into the observed minimum values of each nuclide, and the number of samples observed over the MDA are given in Table 2. A box-whisker plot was used to express and visualize the distribution characteristics of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K (Figure 3). When the radioactivity of each sample group did not show homogeneity ($p < 0.005$) in the Levene's test, the Welch statistic as a robust analysis was also performed for a verification of the mean difference.

In the production cycle of the phosphate rock, the radioactivity of ^{238}U ranged from 0.123 to 1.879 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ with an average value of 0.870 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$. ^{238}U radioactivity in fertilizers, gypsum, k-related fertilizer and gypsum board ranged from 0.037 to 0.263 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, from 0.005 to 0.178 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, from 0.014 to 0.052 and from 0.004 to 0.121 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ with average values 0.0754 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, 0.049 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, 0.035 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, 0.015 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, respectively. As the results of the ANOVA in Table 2 show, the mean difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.005$). The SNK results, which showed the rank of the mean of ^{238}U in production cycles, revealed that the radioactivity in the phosphate rock and fertilizers is statistically higher than that in the gypsum, gypsum board, and k-related fertilizer. These results imply that most of ^{238}U would be condensed in phosphoric acid through the chemical reaction between phosphate rock and sulfuric

acid. On the contrary, since ^{226}Ra has been easily enriched in gypsum, ^{226}Ra radioactivity in gypsum is relatively higher (0.295 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ [range, 0.048-0.857 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$]). However, as the results of the simple comparison for the concentration of ^{226}Ra in this study show, ^{226}Ra radioactivity in gypsum was about 2.5-times lower than that in phosphate rock. These results imply that the radioactivity in gypsum mixed with materials such as phosphoric acid and sulfuric acid was dramatically decreased owing to the dilution in manufacturing products. Moreover, the mean radioactivity of ^{226}Ra in fertilizer and k-related fertilizer was statistically insignificant owing to the low concentration of ^{226}Ra in these production cycles.

The median radioactivity of ^{232}Th with respect to the production cycle is as follows: 0.015 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ (0.004-0.014 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$) in phosphate rock; 0.011 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ (0.007-0.010 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$) in gypsum, 0.007 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ (0.002-0.042 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$) in gypsum boards, 0.013 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ (0.009-0.027 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$) in k-related fertilizer, and 0.013 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ (0.006-0.030 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$) in fertilizers. The radioactivity levels of ^{232}Th have been significantly not different ($p = 0.198$). Because the radioactivity of ^{232}Th are distributed in relatively low levels within the production cycle of phosphate rock, it was difficult to identify any particular transition pathways.

As shown in Figure 3, we determined that the median radioactivity of ^{40}K was 8.972 $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ in k-related fertilizer and

Fig. 3. Distribution of activity concentrations in the production cycle of the phosphate rock.

1.496 Bq·g⁻¹ in fertilizers. However, because these products are compound fertilizers that may contain other sources of radiation, all of the radiation could not be accounted for by phosphoric acid. According to the Radionuclide and Radiation Protection Data Handbook [23], which is classified a total of five groups by the internal and external risks, the dose contribution of ⁴⁰K is relatively small compared to the ²³⁸U and ²³²Th series. However, among the production cycle, the fertilizer contains a relatively high concentration of ⁴⁰K. Therefore, the radiation effect of the fertilizer, as a by-product, is also not negligible.

Conclusions

In this study, through the 'Act on protective action guidelines against radiation in the natural environment', we investigated the characteristics of transport and radioactivity distribution of radionuclides in the production cycle of phosphate rock in Korea. The concentration of ²³⁸U is the highest in phosphate rock as a raw material, followed by fertilizer, phospho-gypsum, k-related fertilizer, and gypsum board, respectively. Thus, one may conclude that the metastatic pathway could be clearly identified. The concentrations of ²³⁸U and ²²⁶Ra in phosphate rock were very close to the registration standard (1 Bq·g⁻¹). Therefore, systematic exposure control of a natural radioactivity exposure pathway of workers working with phosphate rock is needed. On the other hand, among the natural radionuclides, ⁴⁰K shows a relatively low contribution compared to the ²³⁸U and ²³²Th series at the total radiation dose. However, in fertilizer, the concentration of ⁴⁰K is relatively very high. Therefore, the radiation effects for fertilizer manufacturing and use should also be considered as important management targets.

References

1. International Atomic Energy Agency. Naturally occurring radioactive material (NORM V): Proceedings of an international symposium Seville. Spain. March 19-22, 2007. Radiat. Prot. Dosimetry. 2010;138(3):286-289.
2. Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety. Establishment of a database of size distribution and radioactivity of airborne particulates containing natural radionuclides. KINS-HR-1316. 2012;33-41.
3. International Commission on Radiological Protection. 1990 Recommendations of the international commission on radiological protection. ICRP publication 60. 1990;1-3.
4. Lee GJ, Koh SM, Chang BU, Kim TK, Kim YU. Investigation on natural radioactivity of environmental samples near the bauxite processing facility. Econ. Environ. Geol. 2011;44(1):37-48.
5. Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute. Characterization of the natural radionuclides in various industrial products and a study on safety management. KAERI/RR-4043/2015. 2016;1-4.
6. Jo YM, Chun BH, Park CJ. Recovery and recycle technologies of phosphorous from river and water treatment plants. KIC News. 2011;14(5):1-11.
7. Jeong J, Baik MH, Park CK, Park TJ, Ko NY, Yoon KH. A Basic study on the radiological characteristics and disposal methods of NORM wastes. Journal of Nuclear Fuel Cycle and Waste Technology. 2014;12(3):217-233.
8. International Atomic Energy Agency. Application of the concepts of exclusion, exemption and clearance, safety standards series RS-G-1.7. 2004;1-29.
9. Florida Institute of Phosphate Research. Evaluation of exposure to technologically enhanced naturally occurring radioactive materials (TENORM) in the phosphate industry. Publication No. 05-046-155. 1998;8-9.
10. Jewell S, Kimball SM. Minerals yearbook: Area reports international review 2010 Africa and the Middle East. Washington. US Geological Survey. 2011;492-495.
11. National Institute of Environmental. A study on management of non-regulated indoor air pollutant in Korea (II): Pollutants of gypsum board used in domestic. 2010-30-1205. 2010;1-32.
12. Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute. Development of analytical procedures and method validation for the quantification of natural radionuclides in raw materials or by-products. KAERI-CR-576. 2014;111-143.
13. Khixha G, et al. The worldwide NORM production and a fully automated gamma-ray spectrometer for their characterization. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 2013;295:445-457.
14. Rosa R, Amaral ECS. Disequilibrium implications on dose assessment in the use of NORM as building material. In: Technologically enhanced natural radiation (TENR 2). IAEA-TECDOC-1271. International Atomic Energy Agency. 1999;128-136.
15. Ji YY, et al. Feasibility about the direct measurement of ²²⁶Ra using the gamma-ray spectrometry. Journal of Nuclear Fuel Cycle and Waste Technology. 2014;12:97-105.
16. Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute. Development of a standard operating procedure for the investigation of the Radioactive Concentration of Natural Radionuclides in Raw Materials of By-Products. KAERI-CR-611. 2015;96-121.
17. Al-Sulaiti H, Alkhomashi N, Al-Dahan N, Al-Dosari M, Bradley DA, Bukhari S, Matthews M, Regan PH, Santawamaitre T. Determination of the natural radioactivity in Qatari building materials using high-resolution gamma-ray spectrometry. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A. 2011;652:915-919.
18. Al-Sulaiti H, et al. Determination of the natural radioactivity levels in north west of Dukhan, Qatar using high-resolution gamma-ray spectrometry. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 2013;295:445-457.

- ma-ray spectrometry. *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* 2012;70:1344-1350.
19. Szokefalvi-Nagy Z, Demeter I, Kocsonya A, Kovacs I. Non-destructive XRF analysis of paintings. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B.* 2004;226:53-59.
 20. International Atomic Energy Agency. Assessing the need for radiation protection measures in work involving minerals and raw materials. *Safety reports series No. 49.* 2006;1-56.
 21. International Atomic Energy Agency. Extent of environmental contamination by Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material (NORM) and technological options for mitigation. *Technical reports series No. 419.* 2003;87-107.
 22. International Atomic Energy Agency. IAEA Analytical Quality in Nuclear Applications Series No. 32. IAEA-TEL-2011-04. 2011;12-15.
 23. Delacroix D, Guerre JP, Leblanc P, Hickman C. Radionuclide and radiation protection data handbook 2002. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry.* 2002;98(1):9-10.